

In vitro Effect of Monocrotophos on Human Lymphocytes

D. S. Rupa, P. V. Lakshman Rao, P. P. Reddy, and O. S. Reddi

Institute of Genetics, Hospital for Genetic Diseases, Osmania University, Begumpet, Hyderabad—500 016 Andhra Pradesh, India

Monocrotophos is an organophosphorous pesticide which extensively used in the cotton fields in India. It has been that organophosphorous pesticides cause significant a increase in sister chromatid exchanges in mammalian cell lines (Chen et al.1981). significant increase Α in exchanges has reported flouriculturists who were in organophosphorous pesticides and carbamates (Dulout et al. 1985). Workers occupationally exposed to pesticides showed a significant chromosomal aberrations of (Paldy et Organophosphorous pesticides like methyl parathion and phorate showed mutagenic effect in rats (Malhi and Grover 1987). present study an attempt has been made to evaluate the chromosomal damaging effects of monocrotophos in human lymphocvte cultures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Intravenous blood was collected by heparinized syringe from healthy donor (male) under aseptic conditions. Lymphocyte cultures were initiated by adding 0.3 ml of wholeblood to RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 25% human AB serum, 0.5% phytohemagglutinin, 0.25%dicrysticin. Monocrotophos (36%) obtained from Khaitan & Co., Calcutta, India, and the cells were treated with 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 and 0.8 ug per 8 ml culture medium of monocrotophos for 24, 48 and 72 hrs of duration. Since monocrotophos is not soluble in water it was dissolved in 1% DMSO (Dimethylsulfoxide). Control cultures were maintained simultaneously with DMSO (control II) and without DMSO (control The cultures were terminated by adding 0.1 ug/ml of colbefore harvesting the cultures, to arrest two hours the cell cycle at metaphase. All the cultures were harvested by the method of Moorhead et al. (1960). A separate set of cultures were maintained for the study of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs). 3 ug/ml of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) was added to the cultures at the time of initiation. The cells were treated with the test compound for 24, 48 and 72 hours duration. The cells were allowed to complete at least two cell cycles

Send reprint requests to D.S. Rupa at the above address.

Table 1. F	Table 1. Frequency of c 24, 48 & 72 hrs	chromosomal 's.	aberrations	in human	human lymphocytes	treated	with mono	monocrotophos for
Treatment ug/culture	Gaps	Chromatid Breaks	type of Deletions	aberrations Fragments	Exchanges	Dicentrics	Endo- redupli- cations	Total No.of aberrations without gaps
24 hours								
Control I	4(1.0)	3(0.75)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3(0.75)
Control II	4(1.0)	3(0.75)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3(0.75)
0.1	5(1,25)	5(1.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5(1.25)
0.2	9(2.25)	6(1.50)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6(1.50)
0.4	8(2.00)	8(2.00)	0.0	1(0.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	9(2.25)*
0.8	11(2.75)	7(1.75)	1(0.25)	2(0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	10(2.50)*
48 hours								
Control I	4(1.0)	3(0.75)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3(0.75)
Control II	5(1.25)	4(1.0)	0.0	1(0.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	5(1.25)
0.1	6(1.50)	5(1.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5(1.25)
0.2	9(2.25)	8(2.00)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8(2.00)
0.4	10(2.50)	8(2.00)	1(0.25)	1(0.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	10(2.50)
0.8	11(2.75)	10(2.50)	1(0.25)	2(0.50)	0.0	1(0.25)	0.0	14(3.50)*
72 hours								
Control I	5(1.25)	3(0.75)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3(0.75)
Control II	5(1.25)	4(1.0)	0.0	1(0.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	5(1.25)
0.1	7(1.75)	5(1.25)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5(1.25)
0.2	9(2,25)	8(2.00)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8(2.00)
0.4	11(2,75)	9(2.25)	2(0.50)	1(0.25)	0.0	1(0.25)	0.0	13(3.25)*
0.8	12(3.00)	9(2.25)	3(0.75)	2(0.50)	3(0.75)	4(1.00)	3(0.75)	24(6.00)*
*P < 0 05	Values often	in narentheses	es are norcentades	tage				

*P \leq 0.05. Values given in parentheses are percentages. 400 metaphases were scored for each concentration.

Table 2. Incidence of SCE in human lymphocytes treated with monocrotophos for 24, 48 & 72 hrs.

72 hrs. treatmnet	SCE/cell ± S.E.M.	5.90 ± 0.2	6.64 ± 0.5	9.20*± 0.6	9.94*± 0.7	10.32*± 0.5	$11.50*\pm 0.9$
48 hrs. treatment	SCE/cell ± S.E.M.	5.62 ± 0.5	6.40 ± 0.3	8.04*± 0.4	$9.12* \pm 0.8$	9.46*± 0.6	$10.02*\pm 0.3$
24 hrs. treatment	SCE/cell ± S.E.M.	5.34 ± 0.4	6.22 ± 0.9	8.42*± 0.2	8.96*± 0.5	$8,92 \% \pm 0.1$	9.12*± 0.7
No.of	Metaphases screened	50	50	50	50	50	50
Treatment	ug/culture	Control I	Control II	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.8

*P < 0.05

in the presence of BrdU. The cultures were terminated by adding colchicine, and the slides were prepared according to the method described elsewhere (Moorhead et al.1960). Three day old slides were processed according to the standard method (Perry and Wolff 1974) for differential staining. The experiment was repeated twice for chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges.

400 metaphases were scored for chromosomal aberrations and 50 metaphases for sister chromatid exchanges for each concentration and in each experiment. The aberration frequencies were similar in both the experiments. Various types of chromosomal aberrations like chromatid gaps, breaks, deletions, exchanges, dicentrics and endoreduplications were recorded. Chromatid gaps were not included in the total number of aberrations. Statistical analysis of the data was made using X^2 test for chromosomal aberrations and Student 't' test for sister chromatid exchanges.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results on the incidence of chromosomal aberrations after the treatment with 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 ug of monocrotophos for 24, 48 and 72 hrs are given in table 1. The types of aberrations observed are chromatid breaks, gaps, deletions, fragments, exchanges, dicentrics, and endoreduplications. was a dose dependent increase in the frequency of the gaps and breaks with a corresponding increase in the duration of exposure. A significant incidence of chromatid breaks manifest that the chemical may act mostly in the S and G2 phase of the cell cycle. Even though very few dicentrics were observed in higher concentrations most of the aberrations recorded are of chromatid types, this reveals that the chemical may act mostly on the synthetic phase of the cell cycle than G_1 phase. Presence of deletions, exchanges and fragments at higher concentrations reveals the increased mutagenic potential of the test compound.

A significant increase in the SCEs at all concentrations and at different time intervals (Table 2) reveals that the chromosomes are more sensitive for damage caused by test compound, as SCEs is a more sensitive parameter than chromosomal aberrations. Even though the chromatid type of aberrations and SCEs are two independent parameters, the ability of the test compound to cause a significant percentage of aberrations in both the tests reveals that monocrotophos is capable of causing significant chromosomal damage at higher concentrations. There were also reports on the positive effect of this compound in mammalian systems (Vaidya and Patankar 1982) and in human lymphoid cells (Sobti et al.1982). Monocrotophos also showed positive effect in Ame's test (Moriya et al.1983). A significant increase in SCEs were found in rat tracheal epithelial and

chinese hamster ovary cells when tested with monocrotophos (Wang et al.1987). Endoreduplications were observed in BrdU cultures at 0.8 ug treatment after the 72 hours exposure. Existence of endoreduplications were not completely understood. The present study reveals that monocrotophos causes chromosome damage in human lymphocyte cultures.

Acknowledgments. D.S. Rupa is grateful to acknowledge the financial assistance by Department of Energy Environment Science and Technology, Andhra Pradesh.

REFERENCES

- Chen HH, Hsuch TL, Siriani SR, Huang CC (1981) Induction of SCE and cell cycle delay in cultured mammalian cells treated with 8 organophosphorous pesticides. Mut Res 88: 307-316.

 Dulout FN, Pastori MC, Olivero OA, Gonzaliz cid M, Loria D,
- Dulout FN, Pastori MC, Olivero OA, Gonzaliz cid M, Loria D, Matos E, Sobel N, de Bujan EC, Albiano N (1985) Sister Chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in a population exposed to pesticides. Mut Res 143: 237-244.
- Malhi PK, Grover IS (1987) Genotoxic effects of some organophosphorous pesticides. II. In vivo chromosomal aberration bioassay in bone marrow cells in rat, Mut Res 188: 45-51.
- Moorhead PS, Nowell PC, Mellman WJ, Battips DM, Hungerford DA (1960) Chromosome preparations of leucocytes cultured from human peripheral blood. Exp cell Res 20: 613-616.

 Moriya M, Ohta T, Watanabe K, Miyazawa T, Kato K, Shirasu
- Moriya M, Ohta T, Watanabe K, Miyazawa T, Kato K, Shirasu Y (1983) Further Mutagenicity studies on pesticides in bacterial reversion assay systems. Mut Res 116: 185-216.
- Paldy A, Puskas N, Vincze K, Hadhazi M, (1987) Cytogenetic studies on rural populations exposed to pesticides. Mut Res 187: 127-132.
- Perry P, Wolff S (1974) New Giemsa method for the differential staining of sister chromatids. Nature (London) 251: 156-158.
- Sobti RC, Kisshan A, Pfaffenberger CA (1982) Cytokinetic and cytogenetic effects of some agricultural chemicals on human lymphoid cells in vitro: Organophosphates. Mut Res 102: 89-102.
- Vaidya VG, Patankar N, (1982) Mutagenic effect of Monocrotophos: an insecticide in mammalian test systems. Ind J Medical Res 76: 912-917.
- Wang TC, Lee TC, Lin MF, Lin SY (1987) Induction of sister chromatid exchanges by pesticides in primary rat tracheal epithelial cells and chinese hamster ovary cells. Mut Res 188: 311-321.

Received March 28, 1988; accepted May 5, 1988.